Sexual Attraction Fluidity and Well-Being in Men: A Therapeutic Outcome Study

Carolyn Pela, Ph.D.¹
Arizona Christian University, Glendale, Arizona and
Philip Sutton, Ph.D.²

Independent Practice, South Bend, Indiana

Recent legislative efforts initiated by politicians and activists have limited or threatened to limit the autonomy and self-determination of individuals desiring sexual attraction fluidity exploration in therapy (SAFE-T), claiming that SAFE-T is ineffective and harmful. The American Psychological Association has claimed that there is not enough rigorous research to draw conclusions about the efficacy or beneficence and nonmaleficence of SAFE-T. The present longitudinal study examined the sexual attraction fluidity (SAF) and wellbeing of psychotherapy clients while participating in SAFE-T. Participants were 75 adult male psychotherapy clients reporting both same-sex attraction experiences (SSAE) and the desire to participate in SAFE-T to achieve SAF. Well-being was measured with the OQ-45.2, SSAE, and opposite-sex attraction experiences (OSAE) with a Likert scale, and sexual attraction identity (SAI) with a Likert-type item. Results of *t*-tests of the means of baseline and final well-being measures revealed a clinically and statistically significant improvement in well-being. A linear mixed model was used to analyze the SSAE, OSAE, and SAI data obtained at baseline, 6 months, 12 months, 18 months, and 24 months, with results showing

¹ Carolyn Pela, Ph.D., the principal investigator for the study, is a professor in the Human Development & Family Studies and Behavioral & Social Sciences Departments, and the Assistant Dean, Head of the School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities at Arizona Christian University, Glendale, AZ.

Correspondence concerning this paper should be addressed to Carolyn Pela, Ph.D. at Arizona Christian University, 1 W. Firestorm Way, Glendale, AZ 85306; 1-602-489-5300 x2100; carolyn.pela@arizonachristian.edu.

² Philip M. Sutton, Ph.D., serves in full-time professional practice as a licensed marriage and family therapist and clinical social worker in Indiana and as a licensed psychologist in Michigan. Dr. Sutton is a member of the Alliance's Scientific Advisory Committee and Advisory Council and is the past Editor of the *Journal of Human Sexuality*.

statistically significant fluidity of all three factors. SSAE decreased, OSAE increased, and SAI moved toward heterosexual identity.

Keywords: sexual attraction fluidity, well-being, OQ-45.2, SAFE-T, psychotherapy

The American Psychological Association and other mental health organizations (American Psychiatric Association. 2013. National Association of Social Workers, 2015: Substance Abuse and Mental Health 2015) Services Administration, provided guidance to psychologists to dissuade clients from exploring sexual orientation change (American Psychological Association, 2019, 2012, 2021) or what we call sexual attraction fluidity (SAF). The American Psychological Association (2012) defines "sexual orientation" as "the sex of those to whom one is sexually and romantically attracted." The organization acknowledges that while persons commonly may identify—or be identified—as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or heterosexual, "sexual orientation does not always appear in such definable categories and instead occurs on a continuum" (p. 11). Also, "research indicates that sexual orientation is fluid for some people. This may be especially true for women (e.g., Diamond, 2007; Golden, 1987; Peplau & Garnets, 2000)" (p. 11).

American Psychological 2012 Association's Practice Guidelines state that "efforts to change sexual orientation have not been shown to be effective or safe" (p. 14). In defense of this position, they state that there insufficient research evidence demonstrate the impact of sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE) on the well-being and SAF potential of individuals. organization critiques existing research as inadequate for providing clear, empirical support for sexual attraction fluidity exploration in therapy (SAFE-T), saying that the research includes "biased sampling techniques, inaccurate classification of subjects, assessments based solely upon selfreports, and poor or nonexistent outcome

measures" (American Psychological Association, 2012, p. 14). Paradoxically, they support their use similar research to The revised opposition to SAFE-T. guidelines produced in 2021 contain no improvements in the quality of evidence supporting the APA's opposition to SAFE-T, despite amplification of the claims of harm (American Psychological Association, 2021; see Guideline Four). The references are the replicated from original largely guidelines. One exception is a newer retrospective, observational study (Blosnich et al., 2020) comparing lifetime suicidality of participants who had not explored their sexual attraction fluidity with participants who had received primarily religious interventions (81% of the participants experienced only religious interventions) at some point in their lives. They found that participants who had sought assistance also had higher suicidality. The 2021 guidelines imply that this descriptive, retrospective, non-experimental design study demonstrates that professional psychological SAFE-T instigates suicide. Again, this is despite the observational, descriptive, and retrospective design of this study of predominantly religious mediation and despite Blosnich et al.'s extensive discussion of the inadequacy of the study for making such inferences (p. 1029). The study instead seems communicate that individuals experience distress are more likely to seek assistance. Taking into consideration this confusing guidance, we agree with the APA's original assertion (2012) that the clinical outcome research for SAFE-T is inadequate and needs to be updated.

Prominent SAF researchers Bailey et al. (2016) agree, at least in principle, with the need to pursue SAFE-T outcome research,

stating "the more politically controversial a topic, the more it is in the public interest to illuminate it in a revealing and unbiased manner" (p. 46). The level of efforts of activists and politicians to regulate this clinical practice establishes SAFE-T as a controversial topic. Such efforts have included attempts to remove the rights of individuals to receive, and mental health professionals to give, therapeutic support for pursuing SAFE in no less than 20 states and several municipalities (Movement Advancement Project).

The literature review provides a theoretical foundation for continued SAFE-T outcome research followed by an overview of the psychotherapy harm research. The previous research provides a rationale for conducting this and future research on SAFE-T, despite the American Psychological Association's injunction against supporting clients' goals to explore SAF.

Literature Review

Theoretical Foundations

Sexual Attraction Fluidity

Arguments against allowing individuals to pursue SAFE-T rest on a long-held presupposition that homosexual attraction is immutable. However, this presupposition is contradicted by evidence of sexual attraction fluidity (SAF). The Laumann et al. (1994) study of human sexuality observed that people do change the objects of their sexual attraction over time. More recently, Diamond and Rosky (2016), in their comprehensive review of the SAF literature, unequivocally concluded that sexual attraction is mutable, apart from any professional therapeutic assistance. They support their claims, in part, with evidence from failed attempts to discover chromosomal and other biological evidence of programming for sexual attraction, and from the broad body of literature demonstrating that SAF is the norm, particularly for people who have had same-sex attraction experiences (SSAE). The antecedents and influences of SAF include relational, emotional, cultural, and biological elements (Diamond, 2008; Diamond & Rosky, 2016; Farr et al., 2014), with life experiences having a particularly significant influence (Diamond & Rosky, 2016; Silva, 2017). Typically, SAF moves toward opposite-sex attraction experiences (OSAE; Diamond & Rosky, 2016).

Further, in contradiction to the narrative that accepting and embracing a "sexual orientation" is the best option psychological health (American Psychological Association, 2012, 2021), Diamond notes an association between psychological maturity in women and the rejection of self-labeling in accordance with sexual attraction experiences (Diamond, 2008). Finally, the American Psychological Association agrees that individuals can and experience SAF, stating, attraction, and sexual orientation identity are labeled and expressed in many different ways, some of which are fluid" (2009, p. 14).

If, as Diamond and Rosky (2016) conclude, sexual attraction experiences can change with apparently no conscious effort, it is reasonable to assume that some individuals should be able to influence their attractions as a byproduct of processing trauma and other emotions or relational concerns while participating in SAFE-T. Further, a person may choose to intentionally change or influence the effects of the relational, emotional, cultural, biological factors which have contributed to or otherwise co-occur with their experience sexual attraction. This logic corroborated by decades of research. Reports of self-determined SAF exploration include accounts of individuals successfully utilizing a variety of means in support of this process.

Some individuals report assistance through religiously mediated interventions (Jones & Yarhouse, 2011; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Spitzer, 2003) and others using psychotherapeutic interventions (Karten & Wade, 2010; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Phelan, 2014, 2017; Phelan et al., 2009; Santero, 2012; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002).

Reported Beneficence and Harm for Persons Who Participate in SAFE-T

established earlier, the American Psychological Association has claimed that SAFE-T is "not safe," i.e., harmful, without the benefit of rigorous empirical evidence to support their assertion (American Psychological Association, 2012, 2021). It is problematic that they support their position with research that has "a host of methodological problems . . . including biased sampling techniques, inaccurate classification of subjects, assessments based solely upon self-reports, and poor or nonexistent outcome measures" (2012, p. 14). Additionally, the context of the general harm literature is omitted from the American Psychological Association's evaluation of the potential harm of SAFE-T, which calls the validity and wisdom of the assertion into question. As Rosik states, "any discussion of alleged harms simply must be placed in the broader context of psychotherapy outcomes in general" (2014, p. 112). Accordingly, we provide a general background concerning the helpfulness (beneficence) and harmfulness (maleficence) of psychotherapy practices in general before reviewing their relevance to therapy outcomes for sexual minorities.

General Population Beneficence and Harm. There are various definitions for the term *harm* in the psychotherapy outcome literature, including damage (Dimidjian & Hollon, 2010), negative side-effects, and clinical deterioration (Bergin, 1966; Lambert, 2013). It should be noted that embedded in

the harm literature are accounts of noneffective therapy resulting in no change in the client's presenting problem. It appears that every established approach to psychotherapy, even when documented as generally effective or helpful, is frequently ineffective for client goals that are approved by the American Psychological Association (e.g., reducing depressive symptoms). For example, one study determined that 45% of clients presenting with depression experienced no reliable change (Kraus et al., 2016). This evidence of the frequent ineffectiveness of psychotherapy is particularly salient to provide a context for the American Psychological Association's concern that SAFE-T is not sufficiently effective.

In contrast to reports of ineffective psychotherapy, "clinical deterioration," i.e., unwanted side-effects or "harm," can and does occur for a relatively small number of clients. A conservative estimate of the range of individuals who get worse while receiving psychological treatment is 3-10% (Berk & Parker, 2009; Boisvert & Faust, 2003; Kraus et al., 2011). Lambert (2013) reports that reviews "of the large body of psychotherapy whether it concerns research. summaries of the field or outcomes of specific disorders and specific treatments" the conclusion that, lead to "psychotherapy has proven to be highly effective" (p. 176) for many clients, all clients do not report or show benefits. In addition, the research literature on the "negative effects" of psychotherapy offers "substantial . . . evidence that psychotherapy can and does harm a portion of those it is intended to help." These include "the relatively consistent portion of adults (5% to 10%) and a shockingly high proportion of children (14% to 24%) who deteriorate while participating in treatment" (p. 192). Such findings have been reported in the therapeutic and scientific communities for over three decades (Lambert, 2013; Lambert & Bergin,

1994; Lambert et al., 1977; Lambert et al., 1986; Lambert & Ogles, 2004; Nelson et al., 2013; Warren et al., 2010).

Harm can occur through acts of commission or omission. Acts of commission may range from explicit violations of ethics, such as sexual exploitation, to the practice of therapeutic interventions no recommended for the treatment population, such as catharsis induction with victims of trauma or aggressive confrontation with substance abusers (Berk & Parker, 2009; Dimidjian & Hollon, 2010). Examples of omission include the failure to make a referral to another professional for more appropriate or effective treatment (Berk & Parker, 2009), ignoring systemic concerns such as family of origin influences (Castonguay et al., 2010), and overlooking intercultural conflicts (Wendt et al., 2014). Many individuals who present with distress related to sexual attractions identify family and cultural conflicts (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004). Adapting treatment goals and interventions to every client's specific cultural background is essential for best outcomes (Smith et al., 2011).

In the current study and previous sexual minority research, participants frequently identify strongly with their religious and ethnic culture (Balsam et al., 2011; Parent et al., 2013). This is consistent with the conclusion of the APA Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses Sexual Orientation (American Psychological Association, 2009, p. v) "that the population that undergoes SOCE tends to have strongly conservative religious views that lead them to seek to change their sexual orientation." Therefore, the potential harm of ignoring, dismissing, or denigrating cultural identities are particularly applicable for those who seek SAFE-T. The ability to understand and affirm a client's culture appears to influence therapist effects as it communicates to the client that the therapist understands him or

her (Smith et al., 2011; American Psychological Association 2009, 2012).

Therapist effects continue to emerge as possibly the strongest correlate of both benefit and harm. Therapist characteristics, such as her or his own mental health, style, approach, philosophy, personality, especially the therapist's ability to connect to the client and his or her agenda, are strongly associated with (positive or negative) outcomes (Berk & Parker, 2009; Castonguay et al., 2010; Kraus et al., 2011). Therapist effects have a particularly significant dropout rate (Swift & influence on Greenberg, 2014), and incompetent clinical work is correlated with deterioration, increased suicidality, and violence (Lutz et al., 2007).

A review of literature that considers the importance of self-determination theory as applied to psychotherapy demonstrates that supporting clients' self-determination has powerful benefits, including reduction of depressive symptoms (Moore et al., 2020; Michalak et al., 2004; Pelletier et al., 1997; Ryan & Deci, 2008; Sheldon & Houser-Marko, 2001; Zuroff et al., 2007, 2012). Promotion of self-determination includes tailoring psychotherapy to the individual, as opposed to projecting a therapist's agenda, values, and possibly his or her interpretations onto the client (Norcross & Wompold, 2011). Other research has revealed that clients are helped when the therapist displays qualities of presence and empathy, and when they successfully communicate understanding and support for the client's values and goals (Lilienfeld, 2007; Moyers et al., 2016; Moyers & Miller, 2012; Timulak, 2010).

Overall, the general literature on clinical harm provides evidence that regardless of the client's presenting problems and stated goals, psychotherapy can result in poor outcomes. However, it does appear that some psychotherapeutic intervention is better than no intervention for most people suffering from psychological distress (Lambert, 2013; Lilienfeld, 2007) and privileging the client's agenda is essential for reducing harm (Lilienfeld, 2007; Moyers et al., 2016; Moyers & Miller, 2012; Norcross & Wompold, 2011; Timulak, 2010; Zuroff et al., 2007, 2012).

Sexual Minority Beneficence and Harm. Comprehensive reviews of the sexual minority psychotherapy outcome literature have found that in addition to the problems of psychotherapy conflating with psychotherapeutic interventions, there are problems with the quality of the research (King et al., 2008; O'Shaughnessy & Speir, 2017). For example, there are few pretestposttest designs, few control group designs, and few that use psychometric tests. Most of the research is retrospective (O'Shaughnessy & Speir, 2017; Przeworski et al., 2021) and includes recollections of client experiences from 40 years prior to data gathering (Israel et al., 2008). The data strongly supports selfdetermination theory with the consensus that poor outcome is frequently attributed to little support for the client's agenda (Israel et al., 2008; King et al., 2008). The Israel et al. (2008) review concluded that 25% of poor results (harmful or not helpful) are associated with the lack of support for the selfdetermination of the client.

Gay-Affirmative Therapy Outcomes. The American Psychological Association asserts that "the affirmative approach to psychotherapy grew out of an awareness that sexual minorities benefit when the sexual stigma they experience is addressed in psychotherapy with interventions that reduce and counter internalized stigma and increase active coping" (2009, p. 1). Ironically, research is lacking in support of this assertion. In their systematic review attempting to isolate outcomes for gay-affirmative therapy, O'Shaughnessy and Speir (2017) report that there are only four experimental, or quasi-experimental studies

that measured gay-affirmative interventions. These studies report that efforts to eliminate or reduce gay-specific symptoms were largely ineffective. As an example, Pachankis et al. (2015) approached their carefully designed study with the assumption that anxiety, depression, alcohol abuse, and risky sexual behavior by men are the result of minority stress, internalized homophobia, and concealment of the participants' sexual experiences. One group received standard CBT and the other CBT modified with interventions targeting the researchers' gayspecific concerns. The results revealed no significant difference between the standard CBT group and the gay-specific CBT group either depression or gay-specific symptoms. However, there was a decrease in depression in both groups. Because the depression was modified, but the gayspecific concerns remained the same, one might conclude that the depression was not directly tied to the gay-specific experiences.

A similar, more recent study "tested the a minority-stress-focused of cognitive-behavioral treatment" for sexual minority women dealing with "depression, alcohol use problems" anxiety. and (Pachankis et al., 2020, p. 613) and yielded similar results. The intervention used in this study was adapted from the one used in the Pachankis et al. (2015) study of sexual minority men mentioned above. Participants were tested at onset and at three- and sixmonth follow-ups and were randomly assigned to receive the ten-week intervention either immediately or after the three-month follow-up assessment. Overall, the women who received the intervention experienced significantly reduced depression and anxiety and a marginally significant reduction of their alcohol use problems. In their discussion, Pachankis et al. (2020) commented that "because the treatment was associated with only small reductions in minority stress processes and did not affect suicidality, future

research is needed to elucidate the potentially unique mechanisms underlying sexual minority women's mental and behavioral health" (p. 626).

Several studies of gay affirmative or "gay specific" therapy (Reback & Shoptaw, 2014) were conducted to help gay men decrease drug use and risky sexual behavior with the goal of decreasing HIV transmission. Over a ten-year period, using replicated, randomized, control trials, Shoptaw, Reback, Larkins et al. (2008), Shoptaw, Reback, Peck et al. (2005), and Repack & Shoptaw (2014) showed that mainstream therapies, culturally adapted mainstream therapy, and a peer counseling model all effectively helped gay men significantly decrease casual same-sex behavior over the course of therapy. These gains were maintained at the six-month and the one-year follow-up. This research provides evidence that same-sex behavior can be effectively decreased through therapy to lower the medical health risks of the participants.

Both the King et al. (2008) and the O'Shaughnessy & Speir (2017) reports conclude that clients prefer affirming experiences in psychotherapy. However, both reviews deliberately excluded studies of sexual minorities seeking SAFE-T and therefore likely eliminated any participants who would have preferred to explore their SAF. It might be more accurate to say that clients who present with an agenda to affirm a sexual minority identity (since these are the only clients included in the report) are not benefited when a therapist ignores their agenda and promotes her or his own agenda.

Like the general population outcome research, sexual minority client outcome research supports self-determination theory. The participants who perceived their therapist as accepting and warm and supportive of their agenda had the best results (Israel et al., 2008; King et al., 2008; O'Shaughnessy & Speir, 2017). Particularly

salient to the current study, clients preferred the counselor to see them and their problems outside of their sexual minority status and to not attribute their presenting problems to gay stress. At the same time, they wanted the therapist to be comfortable talking about sexuality issues (King et al.).

SAFE-T Outcome Research. Sutton (2014) has reviewed the SAFE-T outcome research literature and offered clarity on what conclusions may or may not be drawn about its documented harmfulness and benefits. This and the present review confirm the Psychological American Association's (2009) previous assertion that further research is necessary for documenting the beneficence and non-maleficence SAFE-T. As a background for the current empirical study, we highlight limitations of the SAFE-T research. Many are similar to the weaknesses found in the broad body of sexual minority literature (King et al., 2008; Israel et al., 2008) and the gay-affirmative outcome research (O'Shaughnessy & Speir, 2017) discussed earlier.

Clinical outcome studies designed to find evidence-based best practices for treatment of all intra- and interpersonal quantitative, difficulties typically use prospective methodologies such as control trials, single group pretest-posttest, and other quasi-experimental designs (Des Jarlais et al., 2004; Kendall & Lippman, 1991; Liebherz et al., 2016; O'Shaughnessy & Speir, 2017). Studies investigating SAFE-T that use conventional methodological standards of evidence-based, clinical outcome research are lacking. Instead, the research purporting investigate SAFE-T is primarily retrospective (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Blosnich et al., 2020; Bradshaw et al., 2015; Dehlin et al., 2015; Flentje et al., 2014; Meanley et al., 2020; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Phelan, 2014; Phelan et al., 2009; Salway et al., 2020; Santero, 2012; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Smith et al., 2004; Sullins et al., 2021;

Weiss et al., 2010) and qualitative (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Bradshaw et al., 2015; Flentje et al., 2014; Phelan 2014; Phelan et al., 2009; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Smith et al., 2004; Stanus & McDonald, 2013; Weiss et al., 2010). While retrospective and qualitative research is important for helping clinical outcome researchers form questions for evidence-based studies, these methods are not the standard for drawing conclusions and subsequently directing the development of clinical guidelines (Des Jarlais et al., 2004; Kendall & Lippman, 1991; Liebherz et al., 2016). An important exception to the use of a qualitative approach is a recent retrospective study (Sullins et al., 2021) reporting that 42.7% of 125 men pursuing sexual orientation change experienced reduction in same-sex sexuality. With its quantitative design, the Sullins et al. study provides an example of the type of research needed for offering evidence-based clinical guidance.

In addition to the basic design problems, there are some notable problems with participant selection. For example, the Shidlo & Schroeder (2002) study, which is highlighted as providing guidance for the development of the 2012 American Psychological Association LGB practice guidelines introduced bias at the outset when asking potential participants to "help document the harm" of SAFE-T. Both the Shidlo & Schroeder study and the more recent Flentie et al. (2014) study sought only gay-identified dissatisfied participants, consequently biasing the results. The practice of intentionally omitting participants who might have benefitted from SAFE-T from research on sexual minorities psychotherapy is all too common. For example, O'Shaughnessy & Speir (2017) systematically excluded SAFE-T studies when reviewing the literature to assess the psychotherapy with minorities. It seems the narratives of those who might have benefited from SAFE-T

have too often been methodically excluded from the literature, *a priori*.

Most of the research reporting outcomes individuals exploring **SAF** the effects of noninvestigations of psychotherapeutic experiences such support groups, and religious or educational interventions (Dehlin et al., 2015; Jones & Yarhouse, 2007, 2011; O'Shaughnessy & Speir, 2017; Przeworski et al., 2021). Also, many studies intermingle these psychotherapeutic experiences with psychotherapy (e.g., Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Blosnich et al., 2020; Bright, 2004; Przeworski et al.; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Spitzer, 2003) resulting in unclear reports of the results and unanswered questions about the factors that lead to beneficent or harmful psychotherapy outcomes. These studies are often quite clear that the reports do not exclusively address outcomes of clinical interventions. For example, Blosnich et al., (2020) state that 81% of the participants in their study took part exclusively religiously mediated interventions, psychotherapy. However, these studies continue to be presented in counseling and psychology journals, representing the results as if they are related to psychotherapy outcomes.

An additional problem with this body of literature is obfuscation of terminology related to the practice of SAFE-T, resulting in misleading conclusions or no conclusions at all. For example, SAFE-T is not clearly defined by its opponents and is often labeled erroneously—and pejoratively—as conversion therapy, reorientation therapy, or using the generic term, reparative therapy, which was based on the specific SAFE-T model of psychotherapy labeled "Reparative Therapy" that was developed and promoted by Nicolosi (1993, 2020). Although often mistakenly presented as a specific approach to therapy, SAFE-T is an umbrella term for all therapeutic modalities or interventions which support client self-determination in relation to SAF exploration (Rosik, 2016, 2017).

Finally, much of the literature induces additional confusion by attributing reports of harm to the exploration itself, as opposed to any specific interventions or therapist effects. For example, decades-old accounts of SAFE-T client experiences include descriptions of long-discredited psychotherapy practices that were once used for a variety of presenting problems and later discontinued (Lilienfeld, 2007). These include recovered memory techniques, rebirthing, aversion therapy, and misuse of electroconvulsive therapy (Israel et al., 2008). These same interventions were historically performed for the presentation of depressive symptoms (and other presenting problems) and were discovered to be similarly harmful to these clients. However, there is no current campaign against assisting clients wishing to influence their depression symptoms in therapy. Many authors who are critical of SAFE-T confuse or combine the treatment goals (sexual attraction fluidity exploration) with the treatment interventions and subsequently contend that the goals are harmful, as opposed to isolating the interventions as producing the harm.

Conclusions have been drawn about SAFE-T in the professional and public arenas without sufficient evidence. The concerns of professional organizations, mental health practitioners, politicians, and activists, regarding the beneficence and effectiveness of SAFE-T, can only be addressed with additional research employing prospective, empirical designs.

Method

The purpose of the current study was to determine the effects of sexual attraction fluidity exploration in therapy (SAFE-T) on well-being and sexual attraction fluidity (SAF). The participants were adult males presenting for psychotherapy with the desire

to explore their SAF potential. Using a quasiexperimental, single-group, longitudinal, repeated measures design, the study evaluates the fluidity of opposite-sex attraction experiences (OSAE), same-sex attraction experiences (SSAE), sexual attraction identity (SAI), and well-being in male adult psychotherapy clients.

Participant Recruiting and Selection

The researchers received permission to recruit participants from new clients at two private practice psychotherapy clinics known for providing SAFE-T and sharing licensed clinicians. The researchers were not affiliated with these clinics and were not employees or contractors. The intent of the design was to of real-life observation experiences in a clinical setting, providing more generalizable results than a controlled setting, such as a university psychotherapy training clinic (Weisz, Donenberg et al., 1995; Weisz, Jensen et al., 2005). Male adults reporting SSAE and a desire to explore SAF were provided a letter of invitation to participate in the study. Potential participants were assured that their participating in the study, or declining to participate, would have no impact on their clinical services. Further, consent for treatment and consent for research participation were clarified as distinct processes. Clients who agreed to participate, reviewed, and signed consentfor-participation forms that included research evidence related to the harm and beneficence of psychotherapy. The research assistant reviewed the consent form with each participant to address any questions.

One hundred and five participants ages 18 to 76 were recruited and began participation by the completion of pretests, and 75 participants completed the study. The 30 participants who did not complete the study included one participant who was withdrawn from the study when it was discovered that his clinician violated the

research protocol when asking the participant to elaborate on a posttest SAE item. Six of the non-completers withdrew from the study. One stated that he no longer experienced same-sex attractions, another that he did not want to be associated with the study, and four stated that they did not need further psychotherapeutic services. Twenty-three participants discontinued clinical services prior to the 6-month SAE posttest measure.

Instruments *OQ-45.2*

Well-being was measured using the Outcome Questionnaire 45.2 (OQ-45.2). The OQ-45.2 is a 45-question instrument administered through an online testing center (http://www.oqmeasures.com). It is designed provide real-time feedback psychotherapy clients' progress. The OQ-45.2 norm-referenced and demonstrated the ability to detect change even in short-term therapy (Doerfler et al., 2002) with good reliability and validity (Lambert, 2004; Lambert et al., 1996). The measure was designed to assess for improvement and deterioration within three domains of client function: psychological, functioning interpersonal, and social (Lambert, 2012). Each item is rated using a 5point scale (0=never, 1=rarely, 2=sometimes, 3=frequently, 4=almost always) with a range of possible scores of 0-180. A lower score indicates higher functioning and well-being 2001). (Lambert et al.. Following recommendations for the use of the instrument to conduct research, the first (baseline) and last measures were compared.

SAQ

The Sexual Attraction Questionnaire (SAQ) Pretest and Posttest (adapted from Santero, 2012) uses separate Likert scales for two measures: opposite-sex attraction experiences (OSAE) and same-sex attraction experiences (SSAE). OSAE and SSAE items

measure frequency of thoughts, feelings, and behaviors (kissing & sex) using a 5-point scale (1=never, 2=almost never, 3=monthly, 4=weekly, and 5=almost daily). Sex is defined as touching genitals, and oral, anal, or vaginal intercourse. The SAQ also measures sexual attraction identity (SAI) using a 6point Likert-type item (1 = almost entirely heterosexual identity, 2 = more heterosexualthan homosexual, 3 = bi-sexual, 4 = morehomosexual than heterosexual, 5 = almostentirely homosexual, and 6 = homosexual). Both the pretest and posttest version of the SAO include demographic questions and the pretest version includes questions about desires and motivations for SAFE-T.

Procedures

Instrument Administration

To obtain a baseline measure of SSAE, OSAE, and SAI, participants completed the pretest version of the SAQ prior to beginning SAFE-T. Subsequent measures obtained throughout the course of treatment using the posttest version of the SAQ at 6 months, 12 months, 18 months, and 24 months. All SAQs were completed through Survey Monkey (http://www.surveymonkey. com). Additionally, prior to beginning SAFE-T, participants completed a baseline measure of well-being using the OQ-45.2 and repeated measures prior to each subsequent SAFE-T session throughout the course of treatment. The OO-45.2 measures were administered through the OQ-45.2 online testing center (http://www.ogmeasures.com). participant had not completed the testing before the session, he completed the assessment in his therapist's office prior to the session using either his own or the therapist's device.

Intervention

The clinicians who provided psychotherapeutic services used Reintegrative TherapyTM (RT; Reintegrative

Therapy Association, 2017, 2019; Nicolosi, 2017). RT is described as a specific combination of evidence-based, mainstream treatment interventions for trauma and addiction. RT includes the use of EMDR and mindful self-compassion, emphasizing client autonomy and self-determination and is supportive of SAFE-T. While the standard RT treatment protocol was designed for treating trauma and addictions, therapists at the clinics report observations of a co-occurring reduction in SSAE in some men (Nicolosi, 2017).

In routine clinical settings clients autonomously end treatment for a variety of reasons. Often treatment ends because either the client, the therapist, or both believe that the therapeutic goals were met, or have determined that the treatment has plateaued in its effects. Other reasons for ending treatment include geographic relocation, changes in insurance coverage, or the desire to pursue other treatment options. Since this study took place in such a real-life clinical setting, treatment length was individualized according to the needs of the participants and therefore varied for each participant.

Statistical Analysis

Initial data analysis included the performance of *t*-tests comparing the means of the baseline measures of the participants completing services within 6 months and the 75 participants who completed the study with at least one posttest SAQ measure. Additionally, descriptive data, including means and standard deviations at each measure, and SAQ categorical data describing the participants who completed the study was compiled.

The effect of SAFE-T on well-being was evaluated using a *t*-test of the baseline and final OQ-45.2 mean scores with the addition of *Cohen's d* calculation of effect size. The use of baseline and final measure of the OQ-45.2 method has been recommended by

others if the goal of the research is to determine the overall effect of the treatment, as opposed to tracking the slope of well-being change (Baldwin et al., 2009).

The linear mixed model was used to analyze the SAQ data (SSAE, OSAE, & SAI). The use of this model has several advantages over the more commonly used repeated-measures ANOVA for the analysis within-group repeated measures. particularly a study that is conducted in a real-life clinical setting that lacks the controls of a laboratory setting. The conventional approach to the analysis of longitudinal, repeated measures data, the repeatedmeasures ANOVA, requires that the entire data set be dropped when a single measure is missing, introducing bias, and lowering power. The repeated-measures ANOVA only functions well when missing data is not a problem (which is rare in a two-year study), when comparing independent groups across multiple measures, and when sphericity can he assumed.

Longitudinal research requires analysis of incomplete datasets that does not introduce the bias inherent by dropping entire cases, as is required when using the repeated measures ANOVA. The repeated measures ANOVA requires the same number of repetitions of the measure for each participant in contrast to the linear mixed model. This accommodated participants' datasets if they delayed completing the measure at one of the designated time points or discontinued treatment before the final measure (Seltman, 2018). The linear mixed model performs well with smaller sample sizes, which is particularly important when conducting research in real-life clinical settings with specific and somewhat less common presenting problems, as in the case of individuals seeking SAFE-T. This model also allows for non-independence of observations inherent in a within-subjects design (Seltman, 2018). The analysis of the SAQ data was

conducted using Proc Mixed in SAS 9.4 software.³

Results

Preliminary Analysis

A preliminary analysis was conducted to assess for baseline score differences between participants that completed the study with at least one posttest SAQ measure (n=75) and the participants that terminated services prior to the 6-month SAQ measure (n=24). *T*-tests were performed using the means of the

baseline measures of well-being (OQ-45.2), sexual attraction experiences (SSAE & OSAE), and sexual attraction identity (SAI). The results demonstrated no statistically significant differences in initial presentation for any of the factors (Table 1). The 24 individuals who completed services prior to the first posttest measure had comparable levels of well-being, SSAE, OSAE, and SAI at the initiation of SAFE-T as the 75 participants who remained in therapy for at least six months.

Table 1

T-test of means of Baseline Scores: 24 month and less than 24 month

Variables	24 Month Mean (Standard Deviation)	Less than 24 Month (Standard Deviation)	df	t	p(2-tailed)
OQ-45.2	71.27 (n=75) (20.48)	75.63 (n=24) (24.97)	97	860	.39
SSAE					
SS Sex	1.63 (n=75) (1.18)	1.93 (n=28) (1.18)	102	-1.140	.25
SS Kissing	1.42 (n=75) (.85)	1.82 (n=28) (1.10)	102	-1.965	.052
SS Thoughts	3.88 (n=75) (1.29)	3.82 (n=28) (1.30)	102	.211	.83
SS Feelings	3.61 (n=75) (1.43)	3.43 (n=28) (1.23)	101	.604	.18
OSAE					
OS Sex	1.38 (n=75) (.879)	1.63 (n=27) (1.00)	101	-1.212	.22
OS Kissing	1.52 (n=75) (1.00)	1.64 (n=28) (1.00)	101	560	.57
OS Thoughts	2.38 (n=75) (1.30)	2.29 (n=28) (1.00)	102	.344	.73
OS Feelings	2.74 (n=75) (1.30)	2.54 (n=28) (1.40)	102	.678	.49
SAI	4.2 (n=75) (1.40)	3.86 (n=28) (1.40)	102	1.159	.24

Notes: The n varied for dropouts due to occasional missing data. Scales for sexual attraction experiences were 1-5; scale for sexual attraction identity was 1-6. p < .01.

Additionally, the design of the study, with repeated measures and no control or comparison group further diminishes the ability to calculate effect sizes for the SAQ data (Tymms, 2004).

³ Effect sizes for the SAQ data were not calculated. While there are standard methods for calculating effect sizes of paired samples t-tests (we used Cohen's d for the OQ-45.2 t-test), there are no agreed-upon methods for calculating effect sizes for mixed models (Lorah, 2018; Tymms, 2004).

A detailed description of the characteristics of the participants who completed the study (n=75) is presented in Table 2. The typical participant was 18–35 years old (52%), Roman Catholic (57%), religious (75% attended church once or more per week), and White (83%). Ninety-two

percent of the participants answered "yes" to the question about whether they desired to explore SAF and reported that they were predominately motivated by either religious reasons (30%) or a desire to pursue a traditional marriage (37%).

Table 2

Table 2				
Characteristics of Participants				
Category	Total	Percentage (rounded to nearest whole number)		
Age 18–25				
	27	36		
26–35	25	33		
36–45	9	12		
46–55	9	12		
56–65	3	4		
66+	2	3		
Religion				
Agnostic	5	7		
Baptist	3	4		
Buddhist	1	1		
Episcopal	1	1		
Jewish	2	3		
LDS	1	1		
Muslim	7	9		
Non-denominational Christian	7	9		
Other Christian	6	8		
Roman Catholic	42	57		
Religiosity—attends church: Daily	8	11		
A few times a week	18	24		
A few times a month	5	7		
1 x per week	25	33		
Major holidays	4	5		
Rarely or never	15	20		
Ethnicity				
African American	2	3		
Arabic	5	7		
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	3		
Hispanic	3	4		
White	63	83		
Desire for SA fluidity				
Yes	69	92		
No	1	1		
Not sure	5	7		
Motivation for SAFE-T Desire for traditional marriage	28	37		
Religious reasons	23	30		
Social	5	7		
Parent's Suggestion	2	3		
Other*	17	23		
Outet .	1 /	43		

*Note: Most participants citing "other" described it as a combination of two or more of the following: desire for traditional marriage, religious reasons, desire to improve psychological well-being, and a quest for value congruency.

Well-Being

A *t*-test comparing the means (see Table 3) of the first and last measures of the OQ-45.2 completed by each participant was conducted to detect overall change in well-being. The results indicated a statistically significant difference, with a large effect size in the baseline and final well-being measures (*t*=6.970, *p*=.0001; *Cohen's d with Hedges correction*=.80). Additionally, the difference in the means of the pretest and posttest scores of 16.71 points exceeded the OQ-45.2 reliable change index of 14 points (Lambert

et al., 1996; Lambert & Ogles, 2004). A change that is equal to or greater than the reliable change index indicates that the change is a true change in the client's clinical condition (Lambert & Ogles, 2004). Additionally, the posttest mean of 54.56 was well below the OQ-45.2 clinical cutoff level of 63 points (Lambert & Ogles, 2004). Therefore, the results indicate both a statistically significant and a clinically significant change in the well-being scores of the participants.

Table 3Table of Descriptive Statistics

Mean (Standard Deviation)					
Variable SSAE OSAE SAI PREOQ N=75	Baseline N=75 2.63 (0.86) 2 (0.86) 4.2 (1.38) 71.27 (20.48)	6 mo. N=75 2.32 (0.87) 2.22 (0.9) 3.32 (1.56)	12 mo. N=53 2.19 (0.80) 2.23 (0.9) 3.19 (1.54)	18 mo. N=28 2.12 (0.75) 2.29 (1) 3.25 (1.53)	24mo. N=22 2.39 (0.87) 2.09 (0.93) 3.5 (1.26)
POSTOQ N=72	71.27 (20.10)				54.56 (23.32)

Notes: Scales for sexual attraction experiences were 1-5; scale for sexual attraction identity was 1-6; scale for OQ-45.2 was 1-100 with a clinical cutoff of 63.

Pearson's-r correlational analyses of the well-being measures and length of treatment were conducted to discover any relationship between length of treatment and the pretreatment and posttreatment measures of well-being (OQ-45.2). There were no significant relationships between length of treatment and measures of well-being, (r(74)=-.094, p=.425)pretreatment posttreatment (r(71)=-.224,p=.059). Additionally, there was no significant relationship between improvement in wellbeing, measured by the difference in baseline and final OQ-45.2, and length of treatment, (r(71)=.137, p=.250).

Sexual-Attraction Fluidity

A linear mixed model (Proc Mixed in SAS 9.4) was used to analyze the SAQ data measuring SSAE, OSAE, and SAI fluidity. The linear mixed model is ideal for repeated measures data because it accounts for the fact that multiple responses from the same person are more similar than responses from other people. An additional advantage of mixed models, in comparison with the more conventional ANOVA, is that all available data is used (i.e., it allows for missing data). A random factor for subject and a random slope for time were included in the model. The addition of the random slope for time

allows the trajectory of fluidity in SSAE, OSAE, and SAI over time to vary across subjects while the fixed effect for time allows for participant change over time.

Modeling OSAE as the outcome (Table 4), the best fitting model included time as a

fixed effect, a random factor for subject, and a random slope for time. The results indicate that OSAE increased statistically significantly during SAFE-T.

Table 4

Final Model of OSAE as Outcome

Effect	6(SE)	df	t	p
Intercept	2.0630 (0.0985)	74	20.95	<.0001
Time	.1012 (0.0298)	74	3.4	0.0011

p = <.01

Modeling SSAE as the outcome (Table 5), the best fitting model for SSAE fluidity also included time as a fixed effect, a random factor for subject, and a random slope for

time. The result of the analysis shows that SSAE decreased statistically significantly during SAFE-T.

Table 5
Final Model of SSAE as Outcome

Effect	6(SE)	df	t	p
Intercept	2.5410	74	27.32	<.0001
Time	-0.1167	74	-3.70	0.0004

p = <.01

The best-fitting model for SAI included SSAE, OSAE, and time as fixed effects, a random factor for subject and a random slope for time (Table 6). Allowing for an

unstructured covariance matrix did not improve the model. The results demonstrate statistically significant fluidity of SAI toward heterosexual identity.

Table 6Summary of Final Model with SAI as Outcome

Effect	6(SE)	df	t	p
Intercept	4.0070 (0.3493)	74	11.46	<.0001
OSAE	-0.7232 (0.084)	101	-7.64	<.0001
SSAE	0.57 (0.0947)	101	6.3	<.0001
Time	-0.2127 (0.0523)	74	-3.85	0.0001

p = <.01

Discussion and Recommendations

In terms of the ethical principles of beneficence and non-maleficence (American Psychological Association, 2017, 2021), the results show that participants in this study experienced significant improvement in their well-being, as measured by the OQ-45.2. The OQ-45.2 measures interpersonal problems and their psychological and social functioning.

In addition, as measured by the SAQ, results show that participants experienced a significant decrease in the frequency of their same-sex attraction experiences, i.e., thoughts, feelings, and behaviors, including explicitly sexual ones. Participants also reported a significant increase in their opposite-sex attraction experiences. Finally, the participants in this study reported significant fluidity or change toward a heterosexual identity.

Overall, the results of this study document that exploring sexual attraction fluidity in therapy can be effective, beneficial, and not harmful. The Reintegrative TherapyTM (RT; Reintegrative Therapy Association, 2017, 2019; Nicolosi, 2017) used by the therapists in this study resulted in participants achieving desired decreases in same-sex attraction experiences (SSAE) and increases in opposite-sex attraction experiences (OSAE). In addition, the participants experienced improvement in their overall intra- and inter-personal wellbeing. These findings are consistent with almost a century of clinical reports and qualitative and retrospective studies which document that SAFE-T has been successful in helping patients or clients to intentionally diminish SSAE and develop or increase OSAE in a beneficent and non-maleficent manner (Nicolosi et al., 2000; Phelan, 2014; Phelan et al., 2009; Santero, 2012).

A finding that was of particular interest to us was the absence of a relationship between time in treatment and initial measures, final measures, or differences between initial and final measures of well-being. We speculated that the participants ending treatment earlier began with greater well-being, but in fact, there was no relationship between baseline well-being and time in treatment. Further, we wondered if those staying in treatment for twenty-four months had continued treatment because their well-being decreased during treatment, but again, the correlational analysis demonstrated no relationship.

Study Limitations

The most basic limitations of this study are common aspects of contemporary longitudinal clinical outcome research conducted in real world (i.e., outside of lab) settings. This includes the use of a single group, which in this case was warranted by the real-life clinical setting of the study, in which the researchers were observers, as opposed to a lab setting in which participants would be randomly assigned to a separate control, or treatment group. The use of a single group design prevents our knowing if persons who wanted to use SAFE-T to achieve SAF but were not treated would have experienced fluidity anyway. Also, the instrument that measures sexual attraction experiences (the SAQ) is self-report. Further, as is typical for longitudinal research performed in a real-life clinical setting, some clients completed treatment before others, resulting in various numbers of posttest measures.

Another possible limitation of the study is the high degree of religiosity of the participants. Eighty-four percent of the participants reported an identification with some variety of Christian denomination, over half (57%) of which were Roman Catholic. The potential influences of this finding on the generalizability of this study's results are unclear. As discussed above, it has been observed that the general population of

clients who participate in SAFE-T "tends to have strongly conservative religious views" (American Psychological Association, 2009, p. v). If clients seeking SAFE-T tend to be "conservatively religious," as were those in the present study, then the results may indeed be generalizable to the larger, general population of clients who undergo SAFE-T, but maybe not to the smaller population of non-religious clients.

Finally, this study focused exclusively on the experience of men seeking SAFE-T. Clinical literature describes that some women for whom same-sex attractions experiences are unwanted participate in SAFE-T and reportedly experience SAF as a result (Hallman, 2008, 2009; Patton, 2009).

Recommendations for Further Research

The real-life clinical setting and the longitudinal and quasi-experimental design of this study in which the environment was not manipulated has strengths that would be diminished with the introduction of control groups, comparison of treatment modalities, and random assignment. However, using control groups and random assignment might provide a clearer picture of the factors that influence SAF and well-being, including treatment modality, time, and external factors. Further, including post-therapy follow-up measures would document what happens to individuals after they leave therapy.

To address the cost of conducting a multiyear study and the problems of missing data inherent in longitudinal studies, future researchers might consider a cross-sectional design. In contrast to the single-group design of this study, a cross-sectional design would allow the researchers to assess several separate cohorts of clients (e.g., pretreatment cohort, 6 months in treatment cohort, 12 months in treatment cohort, etc.) while maintaining the advantages of the real-life clinical setting.

In consideration of the high religiosity of clients seeking SAFE-T, further research is needed to help clarify the factors which influence religiously motivated clients to participate in and to benefit from SAFE-T. In addition to religiosity, research that seeks to identify other cultural and demographic characteristics, including gender, correlate with desire for SAFE-T would provide a more nuanced, less monolithic characterization by clinical organizations of individuals who seek SAFE-T. Studies including male and female participants and clinicians from various ethnic national, religious, and socioeconomic backgrounds across diverse clinical and geographical settings would facilitate developing a less biased view of these individuals.

Finally, consideration must be given to the recognition that unintended SAF may cooccur when clients are in therapy to help them address trauma and manage and resolve other bio-psycho-social issues. It should be noted that just as gay-affirmative therapists (Repack & Shoptaw, 2014; Shoptaw, Repack, Larkins et al., 2008; Shoptaw, Repack, Peck, et al, 2005) have intentionally worked to help clients diminish same-sex behavior to enhance their medical and mental health, so do the therapists who practice SAFE-T. For over a century now, SAFE-T approaches have been documented as helping clients to experience SAF by helping them to manage and resolve a range of bio-psychosocial issues. These include depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress, including sexual abuse, substance and behavioral (including sexual) addiction. and codependent relationships. The possible consequence of "unintended" SAF occurring when GLB-identified persons use therapy to

deal with such bio-psycho-social issues also needs to be studied.⁴

Recommendations Concerning American Psychological Association Warnings and Anti-SAFE-T Legislation Advocacy

It is no longer true that there is no scientific evidence concerning whether SAFE-T is helpful or harmful. While this present study is a modest beginning, the studies by Shoptaw, Reback, Larkins et al. (2008), Shoptaw, Reback, Peck et al. (2005), and Repack & Shoptaw (2014) in which "gay specific" (gay affirmative) therapy was conducted to help gay men decrease their risky sexual behavior offer additional examples. In effect, these studies show that SAFE-T can help "gay men" intentionally modify their behavior with no significant negative consequences reported. This past research and the present study document that continued warnings by the American Psychological Association and other mental health associations against clients using SAFE-T are misinformed, unprofessional, and even unethical in terms of meeting the self-determination needs legitimate clients. Similarly, the past failure of American Psychological Association instruct those engaged in anti-SAFE-T legislation advocacy that research does not document that SAFE-T is harmful, and that all mainstream psychotherapy has a risk of harm, is no longer acceptable. organization's future omission to report at least the results of the present study as "emerging" evidence that at least some clients who want to manage and try to resolve unwanted same-sex attraction and behavior have done so, using SAFE-T, likewise will be unacceptable.

The present study shows, through a more rigorous research design, that persons with unwanted same-sex attraction may reasonably expect to benefit from—and not to be harmed by—their participation in SAFE-T. On a professional and humane level, such persons clearly have the right to seek and receive professional assistance to try to do so. Further, on a professional, ethical, and political/legislative level, properly trained mental health professionals have the right to offer such assistance.

References

American Psychiatric Association. (2013).

APA Position Statement on Issues
Related to Homosexuality.

https://www.psychiatry.org/home/
policy-finder

American Psychiatric Association. (Nov 15, 2018). *APA Reiterates Strong Opposition to Conversion Therapy*. https://www.psychiatry.org/newsroom/newsreleases/apa-reiterates-strong-opposition-to-conversion-therapy

American Psychological Association. (2017). *Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct*. Washington, DC: Author. https://www.apa.org/ethics/code/

American Psychological Association. (2012). *Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients*. https://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/guidelines

American Psychological Association. (2021). *Guidelines for Practice with Sexual Minority Persons*. https://www.apa.org/about/policy/psychological-sexual-minority-persons.pdf

⁴ It has been reported that when the Reintegrative Protocol used in this study has been used to treat emotional trauma, spontaneous change in sexual attraction sometimes occurs as a byproduct

of trauma resolution. Similarly, when this Protocol has been used to treat binge eating disorder, "unintended" SAF sometimes happens (Joseph Nicolosi, personal communication, August 25, 2020).

- American Psychological Association, Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation. (2009). Report of the APA Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association. http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/therapeuticresponse.pdf
- Bailey, M., Vasey, P. L., Diamond, L. M., Breedlove, S. M., Vilain, E., & Epprecht, M. (2016). Sexual orientation, controversy, and science. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *17*(2), 45–101. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100616637616
- Baldwin, S. A., Berkeljon, A., Atkins, D. C., Olsen, J. A, & Nielsen, S. J. (2009). Rate of changes in naturalistic psychotherapy: Dose-effect and good-enough level models of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77(2), 203–211. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015235
- Balsam, K. F., Molina, Y., Beadnell, B., Simoni, J., & Walters, K. (2011). Measuring multiple minority stress: The LGBT people of color microaggressions scale. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 17(2), 163–174. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023244
- Beckstead, L., Buie, J., Cox, S., Tensmeyer Hansen, L., Mansfield, T., Matheson, D., . . . Struve, J. (2017). Resolving Distress Between Faith-Based Values & Sexual and Gender Diversity: A Guide for Mental Health Professionals. https://reconciliationandgrowth.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/RPG-Guide-4-26.pdf
- Beckstead, A. L., & Morrow, S. L. (2004). Mormon clients' experiences of conversion therapy: The need for a new treatment approach. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 32, 651–690. https://doi.org/10.1177/00110000042675 55

- Bergin, A. E. (1966). Some implications of psychotherapy research for therapeutic practice. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, (71)*4, 235–246. https://doi.org/10.1177/00110000042675
- Berk, M., & Parker, G. (2009). The elephant on the couch: Side-effects of psychotherapy. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 43(78), 787–794. https://doi.org/10.1080/00048670903107559
- Blosnich, J. R., Henderson, E. R., Coulter, R. W. S., Goldbach, J. T., Meyer, I. H. Sexual orientation change efforts, adverse childhood experiences, and suicide ideation and attempt among sexual minority adults, United States, 2016–2018. *American Journal of Public Health*, 110(7). https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305637
- Boisvert, C. M., & Faust, D. (2003).
 Leading researchers' consensus on psychotherapy research findings:
 Implications for the teaching and conduct of psychotherapy. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 34(5), 508–513.
 https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.34.5.508
- Bradshaw, K., Dehlin, J. P., Crowell, K. A., Galliher, R. V., & Bradshaw, W. S. (2015). Sexual orientation change efforts through psychotherapy for LGBQ individuals affiliated with the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

 Journal of Sex and Marital Therapy, 41(4), 391–412. https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2014.915907
- Bright, C. (2004). Deconstructing reparative therapy: An examination of the processes involved when attempting to change sexual orientation. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, *32*(4), 471–481. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10615-004-0543-2

- Castonguay, L. G., Boswell, J. F., Zack, S. E., Baker, S., Boutselis, M. A., Chiswick, N. R., . . . Holtsforth, M. G. (2010). Helpful and hindering events in psychotherapy: A practice research network study. *Psychotherapy Theory, Research, Practice, Training, 47*(3), 327–344. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021164
- Dehlin, J. P., Galliher, R. V., Bradshaw, W. S., Hyde, D., & Crowell, K. A. (2015). Sexual orientation change efforts among current or former LDS Church members. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 62(2), 95–105. https://doi.org/10.1037/cou00000011
- Des Jarlais, D. C., Lyles, C., Crepaz, N. & the TREND Group. (2004). Improving the reporting quality of nonrandomized evaluations of behavioral and public health interventions: The TREND statement. *American Journal of Public Health*, *94*(3), 316–366. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.94.3.361
- Diamond, L. M. (2007). A dynamical systems approach to the development and expression of female same-sex sexuality. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2, 142–161. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6916.2007.00034.x
- Diamond, L. M. (2008). Sexual Fluidity: Understanding Women's Love and Desire. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Diamond, L. M., & Rosky, C. J. (2016). Scrutinizing immutability: Research on sexual orientation and U.S. legal advocacy for sexual minorities. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 53(4–5), 363–391. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2016.1139665
- Dimidjian, S. & Hollon, S. D. (2010). How would we know if psychotherapy were harmful? *American Psychologist*, *65*(1), 21–33. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017299

- Doerfler, L. A., Addis, M. E., & Moran, P. W. (2002). Evaluating mental health outcomes in an inpatient setting: Convergent and divergent validity of the OQ-45 and the Basis-32. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 29(4), 394–403. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02287346
- Farr, R. H., Diamond, L. M., & Boker, S. M. (2014). Female same-sex sexuality from a dynamic systems perspective: Sexual desire, motivation and behavior. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 43, 1477–1490. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-014-0378-z
- Flentje, A., Heck, N. C., & Cochran, B. N. (2014). Experiences of ex-ex-gay individuals in sexual reorientation therapy: Reasons for seeking treatment, perceived helplessness and harmfulness of treatment, and post treatment identification. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 61, 1242–1268. https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2014.926763
- Golden, C. (1987). Diversity and variability in women's sexual identities. In Boston Women's Psychologies Collective (Eds.), *Lesbian Psychologies: Explorations and Challenges*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 19–34.
- Hallman, J. (2008). *The Heart of Female Same-Sex Attraction*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2008.
- Hallman, J. (2009). The basics of therapy for women with unwanted same-sex attraction. In J. H. Hamilton & P. J. Henry (Eds.), *Handbook of Therapy for Unwanted Homosexual Attractions: A Guide to Treatment*, Chap 4. Xulon Press.
- Israel, T., Gorcheva, R., Burnes, T. R., & Walther, W. A. (2008). Helpful and unhelpful therapy experiences of LGBT clients. *Psychotherapy Research*, *18*(3), 294–305. https://doi.org/10.1080/10503300701506920

- Jones, S., & Yarhouse, M. (2007). Ex-Gays? A Longitudinal Study of Religiously Mediated Change in Sexual Orientation. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic.
- Jones, S. & Yarhouse, M. (2011). A longitudinal study of attempted religiously mediated sexual orientation change. *Journal of Sex and Marital Therapy*, *37*(5), 404–427. http://doi.org10.1080/009263X.2011.607 052
- Karten, E. Y. & Wade, J. C. (2010). Sexual orientation change efforts in men: A client perspective. *The Journal of Men's Studies*, 18(1), 3–21. http://doi.org/10.3149/jms.1801.84
- Kendall, P. C., & Lippman, A. J. (1991). Psychological and pharmacological therapy: Methods and modes for comparative outcome research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 59(1), 78–87. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.59.1.78
- King, M., Semlyen, J., Killaspy, H.,
 Nazareth, I., & Osborn, D. A. (2008). *A Systematic Review of Research on Counselling and Psychotherapy for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual & Transgender People.* Leicestershire, U.K.: British
 Association for Counseling and
 Psychotherapy.
 https://www.researchgate.net/publication
 /228696900
- Kraus, D. R., Bentley, J. H., Alexander, P. C., Boswell, J. F., Constantino, M. J., Baxter, E. E., & Castonguay, L. G. (2016). Therapist effectiveness from their own practice-based evidence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 84(6), 473–483. https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000083
- Kraus, D. R., Castonguay, L., Boswell, J. F., Norberg, S. S., & Hayes, J. A. (2011). Therapist effectiveness: Implications for accountability and patient care. *Psychotherapy Research*, 21(3), 267–

- 276. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10503307.2011.563249
- Lambert, M. J. (2012). Helping clinicians to use and learn from research-based systems: The OQ-analyst. *Psychotherapy 49*(2), 109–114. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027110.
- Lambert, M. J. (2013). The efficacy and effectiveness of psychotherapy. In M. J. Lambert (Ed.), *Bergin and Garfield's Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change* (6th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 169–218.
- Lambert, M. J. & Barley, D. E. (2001). Research summary on the therapeutic relationship and psychotherapy outcome. *Psychotherapy*, *38*(4), 357–361. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-3204.38.4.357
- Lambert, M. J., & Bergin, A. E. (1994). The effectiveness of psychotherapy. In S. L. Garfield & A. E. Bergin (Eds.), *Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Wiley, 143–189.
- Lambert, M. J., Bergin, A. E., & Collins, J. L. (1977). Therapist induced deterioration in psychotherapy patients. In A. S. Gurman & A. M. Razin (Eds.), *Effective Psychotherapy: A Handbook of Research*. New York: Pergamon Press, 452–481.
- Lambert, M. J., Burlingame, G. M., Umphress, V., Hansen, N. B., Vermeersch, D. A., Clouse, G. C., & Yanchar, S. C. (1996). The reliability and validity of the Outcome Questionnaire. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, *3*, 249–258. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0879(199612)3:4<249::AID-CPP106>3.0.CO;2-S
- Lambert, M. J., Hansen, N. B., & Finch, A. E. (2001). Patient-focused research: Using patient outcome data to enhance treatment effects. *Journal of Consulting*

- *Clinical Psychology, 69*(2), 159–172. https://doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.69.2.159
- Lambert, M. J., & Ogles, B. M. (2004). The efficacy and effectiveness of psychotherapy. In M. J. Lambert (Ed.), *Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change.* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Wiley, 139–193.
- Lambert, M. J., Shapiro, D. A., & Bergin, A. E. (1986). The effectiveness of psychotherapy. In S. L. Garfield & A. E. Bergin (Eds.), *Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Wiley, 157–211.
- Laumann, E. O., Gagnon, J. H., Michael, R. T., & Michaels, S. (1994). *The Social Organization of Sexuality*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Liebherz, S., Schmidt, N., & Rabung, S. (2016). How to assess the quality of psychotherapy outcome studies: A systematic review of quality assessment criteria. *Psychotherapy Research*, 26(5), 573–589. https://doi.org/10.1080/10503307.2015.1044763
- Lilienfeld, S. (2007). Psychological treatments that cause harm. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *2*(1), 53–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6916.2007.00029.x
- Lorah, J. (2018). Effect size measures for multilevel models: Definition, interpretation, and TIMSS example. Large-Scale Assessments in Education 6(8). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40536-018-0061-2
- Lutz, W., Martinovich, Z., Lyons, J. S., Leon, S. C., & Stiles, W. B. (2007). Therapist effects in outpatient psychotherapy: A three-level growth curve approach. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *54*(1), 32–39. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.54.1.32

- Meanley, S. P., Stall, R. D., Dakwar, O., Egan, J. E., Friedman, M. R., Haberlen, S.A., . . . Plankey, M. W. (2020). Characterizing experiences of conversion therapy among middle-aged and older men who have sex with men from the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study (MACS). Sexuality Research and Social Policy, 17, 334–342. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13178-019-00396-y
- Michalak, J., Klappheck, M. A., & Koesfelder, J. (2004). Personal goals of psychotherapy patients: The intensity and the "why" of goal-motivated behavior and their implications for the therapeutic process. *Psychotherapy Research*, *14*(2), 193–209. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptr/kph017
- Moore, E., Holding, A. C., Moore, A., Levine, S. L., Powers, T. A., Zuroff, D. C., & Koestner, R. (2020). The role of goal-related autonomy: A self-determination theory analysis of perfectionism, poor goal progress, and depressive symptoms. *Journal of Counseling, Psychology, 68*(1), 88–97. https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000438
- Movement Advancement Project: Conversion "therapy" laws. https://www.lgbtmap.org/equalitymaps/conversion_therapy
- Moyers, S. L., Houck, J., Rice, S. L., Longabaugh, R., & Miller, W. R. (2016). Therapist empathy combined behavioral intervention, and alcohol outcomes in the COMBINE research project. *Journal* of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 84(3), 221–229. https://doi.org/ 10.1037/ccp0000074
- Moyers, T. B., & Miller, W. R. (2012). Is low therapist empathy toxic? *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, *27*(3), 878–884. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030274
- National Association of Social Workers (NASW) National Committee on

- Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Issues. (May, 2015). Position Statement: Sexual Orientation Change Efforts (SOCE) and Conversion Therapy with Lesbians, Gay Men, Bisexuals, and Transgender Persons. https://www.socialworkers.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=IQYALknHU6s%3D&portalid=0
- Nelson, P. L., Warren, J. S., Gleave, R. L., & Burlingame, G. M. (2013). Youth psychotherapy change and trajectories and early warning system accuracy in a managed care setting. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 69(9), 880–895. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.21963
- Nicolosi, J. (1993). *Healing Homosexuality:* Case Studies of Reparative Therapy. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.
- Nicolosi, J. Jr. (2017). *The Reintegrative Self-Compassion Protocol*. [Brochure]
- Nicolosi, J. (2020). Reparative Therapy of Male Homosexuality: A New Clinical Approach. Liberal Mind Publishers (reprint of Jason Aronson, 1991).
- Nicolosi, J., Byrd, A. D., & Potts, R. W. (2000). Retrospective self-reports of changes in homosexual orientation: A consumer survey of conversion therapy clients. *Psychological Reports*, 86, 1071–1088. https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.2000.86.3c.1071
- Norcross, J. C., & Wampold, B. E. (2011). What works for whom: Tailoring psychotherapy to the person. *Journal of Clinical Psychology: In Session, 67*, 127–132. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20764
- O'Shaughnessy, T. & Speir, Z. (2017). The state of LGBQ affirmative therapy clinical research: A mixed-methods systematic synthesis. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, *5*(1), 82–98. https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000259

- Pachankis, J., Hatzenbuehler, M., Rendina, H., Safren, S., & Parsons, J. (2015). LGB-affirmative cognitive-behavioral therapy for young adult gay and bisexual men: A randomized controlled trial of a transdiagnostic minority stress approach. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 83, 875–889. https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000037
- Pachankis, J. E., McConocha, E. M., Clark, K. A., Wang, K., Behari, K., Fetzner, B. K., Brisbin, C. D., Scheer, J. R., & Lehavot, K. (2020). A transdiagnostic minority stress intervention for gender diverse sexual minority women's depression, anxiety, and unhealthy alcohol use: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 88(7), 613–630. https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000508
- Parent, M. C., DeBlaere, C., & Moradi, B. (2013). Approaches to research on intersectionality: Perspectives on gender, LGBT, and racial/ethnic identities. *Sex Roles*, 68, 639–645. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-013-0283-2
- Patton, M. B. (2009). Working with lesbian and bisexual women. In J. H. Hamilton & P. J. Henry (Eds.), *Handbook Therapy for Unwanted Homosexual Attractions:*A Guide to Treatment, Chap 3. Xulon Press.
- Pelletier, L. G., Tuson, K. M., & Haddad, M. K. (1997). Client motivation for therapy scale: A measure of intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation, and amotivation for therapy. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 68(2), 414–435. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa68 02 11
- Peplau, L. A., & Garnets, L. D. (2000). A new paradigm for understanding women's sexuality and sexual orientation. *Journal of Social Issues*, *56*, 329–350. https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00169

- Phelan, J. E. (2014). Successful Outcomes of Sexual Orientation Change Efforts (SOCE). Charleston, SC: Practical Applications Publications.
- Phelan, J. E. (2017). Self-reports of sexual attraction change in a sample of male psychotherapy consumers in a private practice setting. *Journal of Human Sciences*, *14* (1), 717–723. https://doi.org/10.14687/jhs.v14i1.4282
- Phelan, J. E., Whitehead, N. & Sutton, P. M. (2009). What research shows: NARTH's response to the APA claims on homosexuality—A report of the Scientific Advisory Committee of the National Association for Research and Therapy of Homosexuality. *Journal of Human Sexuality*, 1, 1–128. https://8c581b4a-ebba-4f97-ab9b-725231cd9e3c.filesusr.com/ugd/ec16e9_04d4fd5fb7e044289cc8e47dbaf13632.pd f
- Przeworski, A., Peterson, E., & Piedra, A. (2021). A systematic review of the efficacy, harmful effects, and ethical issues related to sexual orientation change efforts. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 28*(1), 81–100. https://doi.org10.1111/cpsp.12377
- Reback, C. J., & Shoptaw, S. (2014).

 Development of an evidence-based, gay-specific cognitive behavioral therapy intervention for methamphetamine-abusing gay and bisexual men. *Addictive Behaviors*, 39, 1286–1291.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2011.11.029
- Reintegrative Therapy Association. (2017). Standards for Practitioners. https://www.reintegrativetherapy.com/practice-guidelines/
- Reintegrative Therapy Association. (2019). Reintegrative Therapy® is entirely separate from so-called "conversion therapy".

- https://www.reintegrativetherapy.com/reintegrative-therapy
- Rosik, C. H. (2014). NARTH response to the WMA statement on natural variations of human sexuality. *Linacre Quarterly*, *81*(2), 111–114. https://doi.org/10.1179/0024363914Z. 00000000066
- Rosik, C. H. (2016). Sexual Attraction Fluidity Exploration in Therapy (SAFE-T): Creating a Clearer Impression of Professional Therapies That Allow for Change.
 - https://www.therapeuticchoice.com/why-the-alliance-supports-safe-ther
- Rosik, C. H. (2017). In their own words:

 Therapists who support a client's right to explore sexual attraction fluidity respond to questions posed by lesbian, gay, and bisexual therapists. *Journal of Human Sexuality*, 8, 61–92. https://8c581b4a-ebba-4f97-ab9b-25231cd9e3c. filesusr.com/ugd/ec16e9_54c5e7e690e3 40d088 aa1ec49d00208f.pdf
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2008). A self-determination theory approach to psychotherapy: The motivational basis for effective change. *Canadian Psychology*, 49(3), 186–190. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012753
- Salway, T., Ferlatte, O., Gesink, D., & Lachowsky, N. J. (2020). Prevalence of exposure to sexual orientation change efforts and associated sociodemographic characteristics among Canadian sexual minority men. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 65(7), 502–509. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/070674372090 2629
- Santero, P. L. (2012). The Effects of Therapy on U.S. Men with Unwanted Same-Sex Attraction. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Southern California Seminary, El Cajon, CA.

- Seltman, H. (2018). *Statistical Design and Analysis*. http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~hseltman/309/Book/Book.pdf
- Sheldon, K. & Houser-Marko, L. (2001). Self-concordance, goal attainment, and the pursuit of happiness: Can there be an upward spiral? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80, 152–165. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.80.1.152
- Shidlo, A., & Schroeder, M. (2002). Changing sexual orientation: A consumers' report. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 33*, 249–259. https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.33.3.249
- Shoptaw, S., Reback, C. J., Larkins, S., Wang, P., Rotheram-Fuller, E., Dang, J., & Yang, X. (2008). Outcomes using two tailored behavioral treatments for substance abuse in urban gay and bisexual men. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 35, 285–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2007.11.00 4
- Shoptaw, S., Reback, C. J., Peck, J. A., Yan, X., Rotheram-Fuller, E., Larkins, S., Veniegas, R. C., Freese, T. E., & Hucks-Ortiz, C. (2005). Behavioral treatment approaches for methamphetamine dependence and HIV-related sexual risk behaviors among urban gay and bisexual men. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 78, 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2004.10.004
- Silva, T. (2017). Bud-ex: Constructing normative masculinity among rural straight men that have sex with men. *Sexualities*, 21(1/2), 68–89. https://doi.org/10.1177/08912432166799 34
- Smith, G., Bartlett, A., & King, M. (2004). Treatments of homosexuality in Britain since 1950—An oral history: The experience of patients. *British Medical Journal*, *328*(7437), 427–429.

- https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.328.427.379 84.442419.EE
- Smith, T. B., Rodriguez, M. D., & Bernal, G. (2011). Culture. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(2), 166–175. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20757
- Spitzer, R. L. (2003). Can some gay men and lesbians change their sexual orientation? 200 participants reporting a change from homosexual to heterosexual orientation. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 32(5), 403–417. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025647527010
- Stanus, W. E., & McDonald, M. (2013). The Lived Experience of Men in Reparative Therapy. (Unpublished master's thesis). Trinity Western University, Langley, BC, Canada. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/80295775.pdf
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA, 2015). Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTQ Youth. https://store.samhsa. gov/product/Ending-Conversion-Therapy-Supporting-and-Affirming-LGBTQ-Youth/SMA15-4928
- Sullins, D. P., Rosik, C. H., & Santero, P. (2021). Efficacy and risk of sexual orientation change efforts: A retrospective analysis of 125 exposed men. *F1000Research*, *10*:222. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5 1209.1
- Sutton, P. (2014). What the Research Does and Does Not Say: Is Therapeutic Support for Unwanted Same-Sex Attractions Harmful? Ballynahinch, NIR: Core Issues Trust. https://www.core-issues.org/UserFiles/File/Downloadable_publications
 /What_the_research_does_and_does_not say .pdf
- Swift, J. K., & Greenberg, R. P. (2014). A treatment by disorder meta-analysis of

- dropout from psychotherapy. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 24(3), 193–207. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037512
- Timulak, L. (2010). Significant events in psychotherapy: An update of research findings. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*. 83, 421–447. https://doi.org/10.1348/147608310X499404
- Tymms, P. (2004). Effect sizes in multilevel models. In I. Schagen & K. Elliot (Eds.), But What Does It Mean? The Use of Effect Sizes in Educational Research. Slough: National Foundation for Educational Research, 55–66.
- Warren, J. S., Nelson, P. L., Mondragon, S. A., Baldwin, S. A., & Burlingame, G. M. (2010). Youth psychotherapy change trajectories and outcomes in usual care: Community mental health versus managed care settings. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 78(2), 144–155. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018544
- Weiss, E., Morehouse, J., Yeager, T., & Berry, T. (2010). A qualitative study of ex-gay and ex-ex-gay experiences. Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health, 14(4), 291–319. https://doi.org/10.1080/19359705.2010.5 06412
- Weisz, J. R., Donenberg, G. R., Han, S. S., & Weiss, B. (1995). Bridging the gap between laboratory and clinic in child and adolescent therapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 63(5), 688–701. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.63.5.688
- Weisz, J. R., Jensen, A. L., & McLeod, B. D. (2005). Development and

- dissemination of child and adolescent psychotherapies: Milestones, methods, and a new deployment-focused model. In E. D. Hibbs & P. S. Jensen (Eds.), Psychosocial treatments for child and adolescent disorders: Empirically based strategies for clinical practice.

 American Psychological Association, 9–39.
- Wendt, D. C., Gone, J. P., & Nagata, D. K. (2014). Potentially harmful therapy and multicultural counseling: Bridging two disciplinary discourses. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 43(3), 334–358. https://doi.org/10.1177/00110000145482
- Whitehead, N. E. (2009). Homosexuality and co-morbidities: Research and therapeutic implications. *Journal of Human Sexuality*, *2*, 50–104. https://www.researchgate.net/publication /330661527
- Zuroff, D. C., Koestner, R., Moskowitz, D. S., McBride, C., & Begby, R. M. (2007). Autonomous motivation for therapy: A new common factor in brief treatments for depression. *Psychotherapy Research*, 17(2), 137–147. https://doi.org/10.1080/10503300600919380
- Zuroff, D. C., Koestner, R., Moskowitz, D. S., McBride, C., & Begby, R. M. (2012). Therapist's autonomy support and patient's self-criticism predict motivation during brief treatments for depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 31(9), 903–932. https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2012.31.9.9 03